Child Guidance Service	
Summary of Incredible Years Parent Program – FY 2011	
Classes conducted by Child Guidance staff	

Miriam McGaugh, PhD

The Incredible Years Parent Program is a 12 to 16 week evidence-based program for parents of children 0 to 8 years of age. The focus of the program is strengthening positive and nurturing parenting skills, as well as reducing challenging behaviors in children and increasing their social and self-control skills.

Classes were conducted by staff from the Child Guidance Clinic in the Tulsa City-County Health Department.

Incredible Years Parent Program Classes								
Class Location Class Time # Participants								
Boston Avenue Church	Fall 2010	11						
Card Head Start	Fall 2010	9						
Crown Heights Christian Church	Spring 2011	5						
Family Expectations	Spring 2011	11						
CARD Head Start – Catoosa	Spring 2011	16						

## **PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS**

# Response – 52 adult participants

Gender	#	%	Relationship to Child	#	%	
Female	34	65%	Biological parents		87%	
Male	18	35%	Grandparents	1	2%	
Race/Ethnicity			Adoptive parents	0	0%	
African American	0	0%	Step-parents	2	4%	
American Indian	4	8%	Parent's partner	1	2%	
Hispanic	3	6%	Other/ Missing	3	6%	
White	43	83%	<b>Child's Primary Caregiver</b>			
Other	1	2%	Yes	48	92%	
Missing	_ 1	2%	No	4	8%	
County of Residence			Months (in past year) child	lived	with participa	nt
Canadian	2	4%	Twelve	45	87%	
Muskogee	1	2%	Nine	5	10%	
Oklahoma	14	27%	Missing	2	4%	
Osage	2	%4				
Rogers	14	27%				
Tulsa	16	31%				
Wagoner	3	6%				

Relationship Status	#	%			
Married	31	60%	<b>Employment Status</b>	#	%
Single	8	15%	Working full time	19	37%
Separated	1	2%	Working part time	10	19%
Living together	10	19%	Not working by choice	8	15%
Divorced	1	2%	Unable to work	3	6%
Missing	1	2%	Not working but job seeking	5	10%
<b>Educational Level</b>			Student	3	6%
Completed 8th grade or less	0	6%	Other (Self-employed)	2	4%
Completed 9th, 10th or 11th grade	6	12%	Missing	2	4%
High school graduate or GED	13	24%			
Completed some college	12	23%			
Technical or trade school graduate	6	18%			
College graduate - 2 year degree	2	3%			
College graduate - 4 year degree	10	11%			
Post college graduate	2	3%			
Missing	1	2%			

### Response – 34 households

usehold income	#	%	Does child receive Medicaid?	#	%
Less than \$10,000	5	15%	Yes	20	59%
\$10,001 - \$20,000	6	18%	No	14	41%
\$20,001 - \$30,000	6	18%	Does child receive WIC?		
\$30,001 - \$40,000	3	9%	Yes	13	38%
\$40,001 - \$50,000	3	9%	No	21	62%
\$50,001 - \$60,000	3	9%	Does family receive unemployn	nent?	
More than \$60,001	8	24%	Yes	1	3%
es caregiver receive TA	ANF?		No	33	97%
Yes	0	0%	Does family receive housing ass	sistance?	
No	34	100%	Yes	3	9%
es caregiver receive fo	od stamps	?	No	31	91%
Yes	13	38%	Does family receive energy assi	stance?	
No	21	62%	Yes	2	6%
es caregiver receive jo	b training a	assistance?	No	32	94%
Yes	0	0%	Does family qualify for free/red	luced	
No	34	100%	school meals?		
es family receive socia	I security?		Yes	5	85%
Yes	1	3%	No	29	15%
No	33	97%			

# Response – 42 children that were the focus of adult participation

	7			_	
Age of child	#	%	Does child have ADHD?	#	%
2 years or less	22	52%	Yes	0	0%
3 years	8	19%	No	35	83%
4 years	8	19%	Maybe	4	10%
5 years	1	2%	Missing	3	7%
6 to 8 years	2	5%	Does child have a language dela	ay?	
9 years or older	_ 1	2%	Yes	6	14%
Gender of child			No	29	69%
Female	21	50%	Maybe	4	10%
Male	21	50%	Missing	3	7%
Primary language spoken in	household		Does child have a learning prob	lem?	
English	32	94%	Yes	1	2%
English (Arabic)	1	3%	No	34	81%
English (Navajo)	1	3%	Maybe	4	10%
# of other children in household			Missing	3	7%
No other children	0	0%	Does child have a physical hand	licap?	
1 other child	13	38%	Yes	0	0%
2 other children	15	44%	No	38	90%
3 other children	5	15%	Maybe	1	2%
4 other children	0	0%	Missing	3	7%
5 other children	1	3%	Does child have a vision or hear	ring impairn	nent?
Does child take medication	s on a regul	ar basis?	Yes	4	10%
Yes	5	12%	No	35	83%
No	36	86%	Missing	3	7%
Missing	1	2%	Does child have an emotional/	behavioral ¡	problem?
			Yes	2	5%
			No	30	71%
			Maybe	7	17%
			Missing	3	7

### **RESULTS**

Parents were asked to complete the Social Competence Scale for Parents (P-COMP) and the Parent Practices Interview (PPI) both before the first class and after the last class. These were used to measure the effects of treatment.

The P-COMP is a 12-item measurement tool that the parent completes to assess a child's prosocial behaviors, communication skills, and self control. Items on the scale state a behavior a child may display in a social setting, and parents mark responses on how well the statement describes the child. Responses are coded on a five point Likert scale (0-Not at all, 1-A little, 2-Moderately well, 3-Well, 4-Very well). The P-COMP contains a Pro-social Communication Skills subscale and an Emotional Regulation Skills subscale, as well as an overall scale.

The PPI questionnaire was adapted from the Oregon Social Learning Center's discipline questionnaire and revised for young children. The PPI includes 73 items rated by the parent on a 7-point Likert scale (1-Strongly Disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Slightly Disagree, 4-Neither Agree nor Disagree, 5-Slightly Agree, 6-Agree, 7-Strongly Agree) in order to assess parental use of different disciplinary practices. There are seven subscales included in the PPI: Appropriate Discipline (12 items), Harsh and Inconsistent Discipline (15 items), Positive Verbal Discipline (9 items), Monitoring (5 items), Physical Punishment (6 items), Praise and Incentives (11 items), and Clear Expectations (6 items).

For the P-COMP, each subscale and the total score were calculated as a mean of responses. An increase in the mean shows a positive effect. For the PPI, subscale scores are computed as the average of the component items in the subscale. For the Appropriate Discipline, Positive Verbal Discipline, Monitoring, Praise and Incentives, and Clear Expectations subscales, an increase in the mean shows a positive effect. For Harsh and Inconsistent Discipline and Physical Punishment subscales, a decrease in the mean shows a positive effect. A paired t-test of means with a *p* value of .05 was calculated to determine statistical significance between pre and post scores. The following table shows the means, t-test value and *p* value for each subscale and the total scale. P values in bold indicate statistical significance has been reached.

#### P-COMP – 27 Matched Pairs

	Pre Test Mean	Post Test Mean	t-test	<i>p</i> value
Pro-social/Communication Skills	10.88	11.76	-1.32	0.2009
Emotional Regulation Skills	8.96	11.22	-3.28	0.0030
Total Score	19.33	22.11	-2.32	0.0282

**PPI – 14 Matched Pairs** 

	Pre Test Mean	Post Test Mean	t-test	<i>p</i> value
Appropriate Discipline	3.82	4.08	0.78	0.4477
Harsh and Inconsistent	3.07	1.90	2.99	0.0105
Discipline				
Positive Verbal Discipline	5.04	4.90	1.58	0.1373
Monitoring	6.31	6.26	1.19	0.2561
Physical Punishment	1.77	1.19	3.47	0.0046
Praise and Incentives	4.31	4.60	-1.04	0.3162
Clear Expectations	3.06	3.23	-0.06	0.9532

For the P-COMP, one subscale (Emotional Regulation Skills) and the total scale had a statistically significant difference in pre and post means, showing that after the Incredible Years Parent classes, parent's believed their child had better emotional regulation skills. For the PPI, two of the subscales had a statistically significant difference in pre and post means, showing that after the Incredible Years Parent classes, parents were not as harsh or inconsistent with their discipline and they reported a decrease in the use of physical punishment. Although there was not a statistically significant difference in means for the other subscales, this could be related to the high pre-class scores.

### **SATISFACTION**

Satisfaction questionnaires were administered at the end of the Incredible Years Parent Program. Responses were coded on a 7-point Likert Scale, with 1 being the lowest and 7 being the highest score. The table below shows the mean scores for class, parent type and an overall score.

	Count of Surveys	The Overall Program	Difficulty of Teaching Format	Usefulness of Teaching Format	Difficulty of Specific Parenting Techniques	Usefulness of Specific Parenting Techniques
Boston Avenue United Methodist Church	8	6.17	5.68	6.20	5.83	6.14
CARD Head Start	7	6.40	6.26	6.40	6.10	6.63
CARD Head Start - Spunky Creek	5	5.93	5.88	6.44	5.71	6.40
Crown Heights Christian Church	5	6.16	6.28	6.64	5.69	6.40

	Count of Surveys	The Overall Program	Difficulty of Teaching Format	Usefulness of Teaching Format	Difficulty of Specific Parenting Techniques	Usefulness of Specific Parenting Techniques
Mom	16	6.18	5.98	6.41	5.83	6.47
Dad	6	5.97	5.70	6.07	5.69	5.91
Grandparent	1	6.36	7.00	7.00	6.00	7.00
Other (Mom's Boyfriend)	1	6.91	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00
Other (Stepdad)	1	6.64	6.20	6.80	6.00	6.33
	Count of Surveys	The Overall Program	Difficulty of Teaching Format	Usefulness of Teaching Format	Difficulty of Specific Parenting Techniques	Usefulness of Specific Parenting Techniques
Total	25	6.19	6.00	6.39	5.85	6.37

For more information or if you have any questions about this report, please contact the Child Guidance Service at the Oklahoma State Department of Health at (405) 271-4477.

